

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,322	07/09/2003	Thomas Edward Dinan	SA9-99-140US2	2820
32112	7590 12/23/2005		EXAMINER	
	TUAL PROPERTY LA	CHEN, TIANJIE		
1901 S. BASCOM AVENUE, SUITE 660 CAMPBELL, CA 95008		660	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2656	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/617,322	DINAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tianjie Chen	2656			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 15 No.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/617,322

Art Unit: 2656

()

2nd Non-Final Rejection

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is considered. For perfecting the rejection, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (US 6,757,133) in view of Cohen et al. (US 5,995,342).

Claims 19 and 25, Sato shows a thin film device in Fig. 4 including: at least one thin film layer 21; at least one component 41; the component being formed with an overplated head 36a that includes overhang portions 36a; insulating layer 33 (Column 7, lines 62-65) made of polyimide or a resist material being disposed beneath the overhang portions to fill an area beneath the overhang portions 36a.

Sato does not show 33 is made of hard baked photoresist.

Cohen et al shows a thin film device, and teaches that hard backed photoresist and polyimide are used as alternatives each other for forming a insulating layer (Column 18, lines 31-33). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include hard-baked photoresist as an alternative for the polyimide for forming the insulating layer in Sato's device.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,322

Art Unit: 2656

Claim 25, Sato further shows the thin film device is inherently used to an hard disk drive (Fig. 1), including: at least one hard disk being adapted for rotary motion upon a drive device; at least one slider device having a slider body portion being adapted to fly over the hard disk; a magnetic head being formed on slider body for writing data on the hard disk.

Claims 20 and 26, in the above constructed device, the component 41 is formed into an opening formed in a photoresist layer 33.

Claims 21 and 27, Sato further shows that the device is a thin film magnetic head.

Claims 24 and 30, Sato further shows that the component 41 is an electrical interconnecting stud (Column 6, line 54).

Claims 22 and 28 Sato shows the component 41 is a yoke portion of a magnetic pole (Column 6, lines 57-60).

Claims 23 and 29, Sato further shows in Fig. 4 that the yoke 40 is formed with straight sided pole tip portions 40a and overplated yoke portions 40 and 40b.

Examiner's Note

3. A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, see In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3 CCPC, 5/27/76); In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 5/18/72); In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA, 4/26/73); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA, 1/10/74); In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 11/21/85). The patentability of the final product in a "product by process" claim must be determined by the product itself and not the actual process and an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. In this Application, the elected claims 19-30 all

claim a product and the also recite some process related limitations, such as "electrochemically plated," "had baked," and "photolithographic process techniques." These limitations do not gain weight in determining patentability in "product by process" claims.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,322

Art Unit: 2656

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIANJIE CHEN